## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/425,075

Applicant(s)

Choudary et al

Examiner

Larry R. Helms Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) <u>Larry R. Helms Ph.D.</u> (3)
(2) <u>Ms. Verny</u> (4)
Date of Interview 8 Mar 2001
Type: শ্বাelephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 16. If yes, brief description:
Agreementwas reached.
Identification of prior art discussed:
103 rejections
Advised Ms. Verny that upon reconsiduration the claims are not in condition for allowance. The non elected claim's were not canceled by the Examiner.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render
the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.